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#### Abstract

A technique is developed for obtaining the transient response of fourth order more critically damped nonlinear systems. The results obtained by the presented technique agree with the numerical results obtained by the fourth order Runge-Kutta method nicely. An example is solved to illustrate the method.
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## 1. Introduction

The control of micro vibration has become a growing research field due to the demand of high-performance systems and the advent of micro and nanotechnology in various scientific and industrial fields, such as semiconductor manufacturing, biomedical engineering, aerospace-equipments, and high-precision measurements. In micro and nanotechnology a small vibration is an important factor, as, due to a small vibration the produced equipment may be defective. So, in micro and nanotechnological industries, vibration is not desirable. But vibration is unavoidable. It may arise in different way, such as, earth quake, direct disturbance etc. So, vibration control in micro and nano-technological industries is very essential. In micro and nano-technological industries we keep watch that vibrations come to its equilibrium position in minimum time. The more critically damped systems come to equilibrium position in minimum time. So, more critically damped systems play an important role in micro and nano-technological industries.

To investigate the transient behavior of vibrating systems the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolskii (KBM) [4, 5] method is an extensively used method. Originally, the method was developed for obtaining the periodic solutions of second order nonlinear differential systems with small nonlinearities. Later, the method extended by Popov [9] to investigate the solutions of nonlinear systems in presence of
strong linear damping effects. Owing to physical importance Popov's results were rediscovered by Mendelson [6]. Murty et al. [7] developed a technique based on the method of Bogoliubov's to obtain the transient response of second and fourth order over-damped nonlinear systems. Later, Murty [8] presented a unified KBM method for second order nonlinear systems which covers the undamped, damped and overdamped cases. Sattar [12] has found an asymptotic solution of a second order critically damped nonlinear system. Shamsul [14] has developed a new asymptotic solution for both over-damped and critically damped nonlinear systems.

First, Shamsul and Sattar [13] developed a perturbation technique based on the work of KBM for obtaining the solution of third order critically damped nonlinear systems. Later, Shamsul [15] has investigated solutions of third order critically nonlinear systems whose unequal eigenvalues are in integral multiple. In article [15] Shamsul has also investigated solutions of third order more critically damped nonlinear systems. Shamsul [17] has also presented a perturbation technique for solving a third order over-damped system based on the KBM method when two roots of the linear equation are almost equal (rather than equal) and one root is small. Rokibul et al. [10] found a new technique for obtaining the solutions of third order critically damped nonlinear systems.

In article [7], Murty et al. also extended the KBM method for solving fourth order over-damped nonlinear systems. But their method is too much complex and laborious. Akbar et al. [1] presented an asymptotic method for fourth order overdamped nonlinear systems which is simple, systematic and easier than the method presented in [7], but the results obtained by [1] is same as the results obtained by [7]. Later, Akbar et al. [2] extended the method presented in [1] for fourth order damped oscillatory nonlinear systems. First, Rokibul et al. [11] extended the KBM method for obtaining the response of fourth order critically damped nonlinear systems. But none one of the above author's investigated solutions of fourth order more critically damped nonlinear systems.

In the present article we have developed a technique for obtaining the solutions of fourth order more critically damped nonlinear systems.

## 2.The method

Consider a fourth order weakly nonlinear ordinary differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(4)}+p_{1} \dddot{x}+p_{2} \ddot{x}+p_{3} \dot{x}+p_{4} x=-\varepsilon f(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{(4)}$ denote the fourth derivative and over dots denote first, second and third derivative of $x$ with respect to $t ; p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are constants, $\varepsilon$ is the small parameter and $f(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x})$ is the given nonlinear function. As the equation is fourth order so there are four real negative eigenvalues, and three of the eigenvalues are equal (for more critically damped). Suppose the eigenvalues are $-\lambda,-\lambda,-\lambda,-\mu$. When $\varepsilon=0$, the equation (1) becomes linear and the solution of the corresponding linear equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t, 0)=\left(a_{0}+b_{0} t+c_{0} t^{2}\right) e^{-\lambda t}+d_{0} e^{-\mu t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}$ are constants of integration.
When $\varepsilon \neq 0$, following [16] an asymptotic solution of the equation (1) is sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t, \varepsilon)=\left(a+b t+c t^{2}\right) e^{-\lambda t}+d e^{-\mu t}+\varepsilon u_{1}(a, b, c, d, t)+\cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ the functions of $t$ and satisfy the first order differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{a}(t) & =\varepsilon A_{1}(a, b, c, d, t)+\cdots \\
\dot{b}(t) & =\varepsilon B_{1}(a, b, c, d, t)+\cdots \\
\dot{c}(t) & =\varepsilon C_{1}(a, b, c, d, t)+\cdots  \tag{4}\\
\dot{d}(t) & =\varepsilon D_{1}(a, b, c, d, t)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

We only consider first few terms in the series expansion of (3) and (4), we evaluate the functions $u_{i}$ and $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$ such that $a, b, c$ and $d$ appearing in (3) and (4) satisfy the given differential equation (1) with an accuracy of order $\varepsilon^{n+1}$. In order to determine these unknown functions it is customary in the KBM method that the correction terms, $u_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$ must exclude terms (known as secular terms) which make them large. Theoretically, the solution can be obtained up to the accuracy of any order of approximation. However, owing to the rapidly growing algebraic complexity for the derivation of the formulae, the solution is in general confined to a lower order, usually the first [8].

Now differentiating the equation (3) four times with respect to $t$, substituting the value of $x$ and the derivatives $\dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, x^{(4)}$ in the original equation (1), utilizing the relations presented in (4) and finally equating the coefficients of $\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+3 \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial t}+6 C_{1}+t\left(\frac{\partial^{2} C_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+6 \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial t}\right)+t^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& +e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda\right)^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu\right) u_{1}=-f^{(0)}(a, b, c, d, t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $f^{(0)}(a, b, c, d, t)=f\left(x_{0}, \dot{x}_{0}, \ddot{x}_{0}, \dddot{x}_{0}\right)$ and $x_{0}=\left(a+b t+c t^{2}\right) e^{-\lambda t}+d e^{-\mu t}$.
Now, we expand the functional $f^{(0)}$ in the Taylor's series of the form (see also [12-15] for details)

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{(0)}=\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{0} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{0}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{1} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}  \tag{6}\\
& +\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{2}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{3} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{3}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, using (6), the equation (5) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+3 \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial t}+6 C_{1}+t\left(\frac{\partial^{2} B_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+6 \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial t}\right)+t^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}\right\} \\
& +e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda\right)^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu\right) u_{1}=-\left\{\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{0}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}\right.  \tag{7}\\
& +\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{1} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{2}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t} \\
& \left.+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{3} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{3}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\cdots\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

KBM [4, 5], Murty et al. [7], Sattar [12], Shamsul and Sattar [13], Shamsul [15, 17] imposed the condition that $u_{1}$ can not contain the fundamental terms (the solution (2) is called generating solution of (1) and its terms are called fundamental terms) of $f^{(0)}$. Therefore, equation (7) can be separated for unknown functions $u_{1}$ and $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1} \quad D_{1}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+3 \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial t}+6 C_{1}+t\left(\frac{\partial^{2} B_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+6 \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial t}\right)+t^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}\right\} \\
& +e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1}=-\left\{\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{0}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{1} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda\right)^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu\right) u_{1}=-\left\{\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{2}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{3} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{3}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}+\cdots\right\} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now equating the coefficients of $t^{0}, t^{1}$ and $t^{2}$; from equation (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right) \frac{\partial^{2} C_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}=-c \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t} \\
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} B_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+6 \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial t}\right)=-b \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+3 \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial t}+6 C_{1}\right) \\
& +e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1}=-\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{0}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the equation (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c F_{1}(a, d) e^{-((i-1) \lambda+j \mu) t}}{(i \lambda+(j-1) \mu)((i-1) \lambda+j \mu)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $C_{1}$ from (13) into equation (11) and solving, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=-6 \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c F_{1}(a, d) e^{-((i-1) \lambda+j \mu) t}}{((i-1) \lambda+j \mu)^{3}(i \lambda+(j-1) \mu)}-\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b F_{1}(a, d) e^{-((i-1) \lambda+j \mu) t}}{((i-1) \lambda+j \mu)^{2}(i \lambda+(j-1) \mu)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting the value of $C_{1}$ from (13) and $B_{1}$ from (14) into equation (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right) \frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1} \\
& =-12 \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}}{((i-1) \lambda+j \mu)^{2}}-3 \sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b F_{1}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t}}{((i-1) \lambda+j \mu)}-\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} F_{0}(a, d) e^{-(i \lambda+j \mu) t} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we have only one equation (15) for obtaining the unknown functions $A_{1}$ and $D_{1}$. Therefore, to separate the equation (15) for obtaining the unknown functions $A_{1}$ and $D_{1}$, we need to impose some restrictions and thus the value of $A_{1}$
and $D_{1}$ can be found subject to the condition that the coefficients in the solution of $A_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ do not become large (see also [3, 15] for details). This completes the determination of $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ and $D_{1}$.

Since $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c}, \dot{d}$ are proportional to small parameter $\varepsilon$, so they are slowly varying functions of time $t$ and as a first approximation, we may consider them as constants in the right hand side. This assumption was first made by Murty et al. [7]. Thus the solutions of the equation (4) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=a_{0}+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} A_{1}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}, t\right) d t \\
& b=b_{0}+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} B_{1}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}, t\right) d t \\
& c=c_{0}+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} C_{1}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}, t\right) d t \\
& d=d_{0}+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} D_{1}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}, t\right) d t \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (9) is an inhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equation; therefore it can be solved by the well-known operator method.

Substituting the value of $a, b, c, d$ and $u_{1}$ in the equation (3), we shall get the complete solution of (1).

Therefore, the determination of the first order improved solution is completed.

### 2.1 Example

The figure of the isolation (vibration free) table which is extensively used in the semiconductor manufacturing, biomedical engineering, aerospace-equipments, and high-precision measurements is given below.


Here $m_{2}$ and $m_{1}$ are the mass of the isolation and middle table respectively, $k_{2}$ and $k_{1}$ are spring constants, $c_{2}$ and $c_{1}$ are damping coefficients and $x_{2}, x_{1}$ are the displacement of the isolation and middle table respectively due to disturbances.

The governing equation of the isolation table is

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1} \ddot{x}_{1}+c_{1} \dot{x}_{1}+k_{1} x_{1}+c_{2}\left(\dot{x}_{1}-\dot{x}_{2}\right)+k_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)=-F_{c} \\
& m_{2} \ddot{x}_{2}+c_{2}\left(\dot{x}_{2}-\dot{x}_{1}\right)+k_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=F_{c}+F_{d} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying the equation (17), we obtain

$$
x^{(4)}+p_{1} \dddot{x}+p_{2} \ddot{x}+p_{3} \dot{x}+p_{4} x=-\varepsilon x^{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=\left\{c_{2} m_{1}+\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) m_{2}\right\} /\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
p_{2}=\left\{k_{2} m_{1}+\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) m_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}\right\} /\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)
$$

$$
p_{3}=\left\{k_{1} c_{2}+k_{2} c_{1}\right\} /\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right), \quad p_{4}=\left(k_{1} k_{2}\right) /\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) \text { and } \quad \varepsilon=
$$

When $p_{1}=p_{1} p_{2}$, the three eigenvalues of the corresponding linear equation of (18) become equal. i. e. the system (18) becomes more critically damped.

Here $f=x^{3}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(0)}= & a^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t}+3 a^{2} d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+3 a d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t}+d^{3} e^{-3 \mu t} \\
& +\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{1}\left(3 a^{2} e^{-3 \lambda t}+6 a d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+3 d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t}\right) \\
& +\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{2}\left(3 a e^{-3 \lambda t}+3 d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}\right)+\left(b t+c t^{2}\right)^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

For equation (18), the equations (10)-(12) and equation (9) respectively become

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right) \frac{\partial^{2} C_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}=-\left\{3 a^{2} c e^{-3 \lambda t}+6 a c d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+3 c d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t}\right\} \\
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} B_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+6 \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial t}\right)=-\left\{3 a^{2} b e^{-3 \lambda t}+6 a b d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+3 b d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t}\right\}  \tag{19}\\
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}+3 \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial t}+6 C_{1}\right)+e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1}  \tag{20}\\
& =-\left\{a^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t}+3 a^{2} d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+3 a d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t}+d^{3} e^{-3 \lambda_{4} t}\right\} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda\right)^{3}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu\right) u_{1}=-\left\{b^{3} t^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t}+6 a b c t^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t}+3 b^{2} c t^{4} e^{-3 \lambda t}\right. \\
& +3 a c^{2} t^{4} e^{-3 \lambda t}+3 b c^{2} t^{5} e^{-3 \lambda t}+c^{3} t^{6} e^{-3 \lambda t}+6 b c d t^{3} e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t} \\
& \left.+3 c^{2} d t^{4} e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+3 a b^{2} t^{2} e^{-3 \lambda t}+3 d b^{2} t^{2} e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of the equation (19) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=l_{1} a^{2} c e^{-2 \lambda t}+l_{2} a c d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}+l_{3} c d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}=\left(3 P L^{2}\right) / 4, \quad l_{2}=\left(3 Q^{2} L\right) / 2, \quad l_{3}=\left(3 Q M^{2}\right) / 4 \quad$ and

$$
P=\frac{1}{3 \lambda-\mu}, \quad Q=\frac{1}{\lambda+\mu}, \quad L=\frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad M=\frac{1}{\mu} .
$$

Putting the value of $C_{1}$ from the equation (22) in the equation (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{1} & =m_{1} a^{2} c e^{-2 \lambda t}+m_{2} a c d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}+m_{3} c d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t} \\
& +m_{4} a^{2} b e^{-2 \lambda t}+m_{5} a b d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}+m_{6} b d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad m_{1}=9 P L^{3} / 4, \quad m_{2}=18 Q^{3} L, \quad m_{3}=9 Q M^{3} / 4$, $m_{4}=3 P L^{2} / 4$, $m_{5}=3 Q^{2} L \quad, \quad m_{6}=3 Q M^{2} / 4$.

Substituting the values of $B_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ into equation (21), we shall get an equation for unknown functions $A_{1}$ and $D_{1}$. To separate the equation (21) for determining the unknown functions $A_{1}$ and $D_{1}$, in this article we considered the relation $\lambda \approx 3 \mu$ exists among the eigenvalues (see also [13, 15] for details). i.e. the unequal eigenvalue $\lambda$ is the multiple of $\mu$. This type of relation $(\lambda \approx 3 \mu)$ appears intuitively in the symmetric problems. Since our problem is symmetric, therefore consideration of such type of relation is logical. Therefore, under this relation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\lambda t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\mu-\lambda\right) \frac{\partial^{2} A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}=6 m_{1} \lambda(\mu-3 \lambda) a^{2} c e^{-3 \lambda t} \\
& -12 \lambda(\lambda+\mu) m_{2} a c d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}-6 \mu(\lambda+\mu) m_{3} c d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t} \\
& +6 \lambda(\mu-3 \lambda) m_{4} a^{2} b e^{-3 \lambda t}-6 \lambda(\lambda+\mu) m_{5} a b d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t} \\
& -6 \mu(\lambda+\mu) m_{6} b d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t}-6(\mu-3 \lambda) l_{1} a^{2} c e^{-3 \lambda t} \\
& +24 \lambda l_{2} a c d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}+6(\lambda+\mu) l_{3} c d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t}-a^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t} \\
& -3 a^{2} d e^{-(2 \lambda+\mu) t}-3 a d^{2} e^{-(\lambda+2 \mu) t} \\
& e^{-\mu t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\lambda-\mu\right)^{3} D_{1}=-d^{3} e^{-3 \mu t} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The particular solutions of (25) and (26) respectively become

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1}= & n_{1} a^{2} c e^{-2 \lambda t}+n_{2} a c d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}+n_{3} c d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t}+n_{4} a^{2} b e^{-2 \lambda t} \\
& +n_{5} a b d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}+n_{6} b d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t}+n_{7} a^{2} c e^{-2 \lambda t}+n_{8} a c d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t} \\
& +n_{9} c d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t}+n_{10} a^{3} e^{-2 \lambda t}+n_{11} a^{2} d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}+n_{12} a d^{2} e^{-2 \mu t} \\
D_{1} & =p_{1} d^{3} e^{-2 \mu t} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $n_{1}=27 P L^{4} / 8, \quad n_{2}=18 Q^{4} L, \quad n_{3}=27 Q M^{4} / 8$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{4}=9 P L^{3} / 8 \quad n_{5}=9 Q^{3} L, \quad n_{6}=9 Q M^{3} / 8, \quad n_{7}=-9 P L^{4} / 8, \\
n_{8}=-9 Q^{4} L, \\
n_{9}=-9 Q M^{4} / 8, \quad n_{10}=-P L^{2} / 4, \quad n_{11}=3 Q^{2} L / 2,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
n_{12}=3 Q M^{2} / 4, \quad \quad p_{1}=\frac{P^{3} Q^{3}}{(2 P-Q)^{3}} .
$$

The solution of the equation (22) for $u_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1} & =\left(r_{1} t^{3}+r_{2} t^{2}+r_{3} t+r_{4}\right)\left(b^{3}+6 a b c\right) e^{-3 \lambda t}+\left(r_{5} t^{4}+r_{6} t^{3}+r_{7} t^{2}+r_{8} t+r_{9}\right) \\
& \times\left(b^{2} c+a c^{2}\right) e^{-3 \lambda t}+\left(r_{10} t^{5}+r_{11} t^{4}+r_{12} t^{3}+r_{13} t^{2}+r_{14} t+r_{15}\right) b c^{2} e^{-3 \lambda t} \\
& +\left(r_{16} t^{6}+r_{17} t^{5}+r_{18} t^{4}+r_{19} t^{3}+r_{20} t^{2}+r_{21} t+r_{22}\right) c^{3} e^{-3 \lambda t} \\
& +\left(r_{23} t^{3}+r_{24} t^{2}+r_{25} t+r_{26}\right) b c d e^{-(\mu+2 \lambda) t} \\
& +\left(r_{27} t^{4}+r_{28} t^{3}+r_{29} t^{2}+r_{30} t+r_{31}\right) c^{2} d e^{-(\mu+2 \lambda) t} \\
& +\left(r_{32} t^{2}+r_{33} t+r_{34}\right) a b^{2} e^{-3 \lambda t}+\left(r_{35} t^{2}+r_{36} t+r_{37}\right) b^{2} d e^{-(\mu+2 \lambda) t} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{1}=-P L^{3} / 8, \quad r_{2}=r_{1}(3 P+9 L / 2)$,

$$
r_{3}=r_{1}\left(6 P^{2}+9 P L+9 L^{2}\right),
$$

$$
r_{4}=r_{1}\left(6 P^{3}+9 P^{2} L+9 P L^{2}+15 L^{3} / 2\right), \quad r_{5}=-3 P L^{2} / 8,
$$

$$
r_{6}=r_{5}(4 P+6 L), \quad r_{7}=r_{5}\left(12 P^{2}+18 P L+18 L^{2}\right),
$$

$$
r_{8}=r_{5}\left(24 P^{3}+36 P^{2} L+36 P L^{2}+30 L^{3}\right),
$$

$$
\left.r_{9}=r_{5}\left(24 P^{4}+36 P^{3} L+36 P^{2} L^{2}+30 P L^{3}+45 L^{4}\right) / 2\right),
$$

$$
r_{10}=-3 P L^{3} / 8
$$

$$
r_{11}=r_{10}(5 P+15 L / 2), \quad r_{12}=r_{10}\left(20 P^{2}+30 P L+30 L^{2}\right),
$$

$$
r_{13}=r_{10}\left(60 P^{3}+90 P^{2} L+90 P L^{2}+75 L^{3}\right)
$$

$$
r_{14}=r_{10}\left(120 P^{4}+180 P^{3} L+180 P^{2} L^{2}+150 P L^{3}+225 L^{4} / 2\right)
$$

$$
r_{15}=r_{10}\left(120 P^{5}+180 P^{4} L+180 P^{3} L^{2}+150 P^{2} L^{3}+150 P L^{4}+315 L^{5} / 4\right)
$$

$$
r_{16}=-P L^{3} / 8, \quad r_{17}=r_{16}(6 P+9 L / 2)
$$

$$
r_{18}=r_{16}\left(30 P^{2}+45 P L+45 L^{2}\right),
$$

$$
r_{19}=r_{16}\left(120 P^{3}+180 P^{2} L+180 P L^{2}+150 L^{3}\right)
$$

$$
r_{20}=r_{16}\left(360 P^{4}+540 P^{3} L+540 P^{2} L^{2}+450 P L^{3}+675 L^{4} / 2\right)
$$

$$
r_{21}=r_{16}\left(720 P^{5}+1080 P^{4} L+1080 P^{3} L^{2}+900 P^{2} L^{3}+675 P L^{4}+945 L^{5} / 2\right)
$$

$$
r_{22}=r_{16}\left(720 P^{6}+1080 P^{5} L+1080 P^{4} L^{2}+900 P^{3} L^{3}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+675 P^{2} L^{4}+945 P L^{5} / 2+315 L^{5}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{23}=-3 Q^{3} L / 2, r_{24}=r_{23}(3 L / 2+9 Q), \\
& r_{25}=r_{23}\left(3 L^{2} / 2+9 Q L+9 Q^{2}\right), \\
& r_{26}=r_{23}\left(3 L^{3} / 4+9 Q L / 2+9 Q^{2} L+60 Q^{3}\right), \quad r_{27}=-3 Q^{3} L / 2, \\
& r_{28}=r_{27}(2 L+12 Q), \quad r_{29}=r_{27}\left(3 L^{2}+18 Q L+72 Q^{2}\right), \\
& r_{30}=r_{28}\left(3 L^{3}+18 Q L^{2}+72 Q^{2} L+240 Q^{3}\right), \\
& r_{31}=r_{28}\left(3 L^{4} / 2+9 Q L^{3}+36 Q^{2} L^{2}+120 Q^{3} L+360 Q^{4}\right), \\
& r_{32}=-3 P L^{3} / 8, \\
& r_{33}=r_{32}(3 L+2 P), \quad r_{34}=r_{32}\left(L^{2}+3 P L+2 P^{2}\right), \\
& r_{35}=-3 Q^{3} L / 2, \\
& r_{36}=r_{35}(6 Q+L), \quad \quad r_{37}=r_{35}\left(12 Q^{2}+3 Q L+L^{2} / 2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the values of $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}, D_{1}$ from the equations (27), (24), (23) and (28) into equation (16), we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\begin{array}{rl}
a= & a_{0}
\end{array}+\varepsilon\left\{\frac{n_{1} a^{2} c\left(1-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{n_{2} a c d\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}\right)}{(\lambda+\mu)}+\frac{n_{3} c d^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \mu t}\right)}{2 \mu}\right. \\
& +\frac{n_{4} a^{2} b\left(1-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{n_{5} a b d e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}}{(\lambda+\mu)}+\frac{n_{6} b d^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \mu t}\right)}{2 \mu} \\
& +\frac{n_{7} a^{2} c\left(1-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{n_{8} a c d\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}\right)}{(\lambda+\mu)}+\frac{n_{9} c d^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \mu t}\right)}{2 \mu} \\
b=b_{0}+ & \varepsilon\left\{\frac{m_{1} a^{2} c\left(1-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{m_{2} a c d\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}\right)}{(\lambda+\mu)}+\frac{m_{3} c d^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \mu t}\right)}{2 \mu}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{m_{4} a^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{n_{11} a^{2} d\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}\right)}{(\lambda+\mu)}+\frac{n_{12} a d^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \mu t}\right)}{2 \mu}\right\} \\
+ & \\
c= & c_{0}+\varepsilon\left\{\frac{\left.e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{m_{5} a b d\left(1-e^{-(\lambda+\mu) t}\right)}{(\lambda+\mu)}+\frac{m_{6} b d^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \mu t}\right)}{2 \mu}\right\}  \tag{30}\\
2 \lambda
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
d=d_{0}+\varepsilon \frac{p_{4} d_{0}^{3} e^{-2 \mu t}}{2 \mu}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the first approximate solution of the equation (17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t, \varepsilon)=\left(a+b t+c t^{2}\right) e^{-\lambda t}+d e^{-\mu t}+\varepsilon u_{1}(a, b, c, d, t) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a b, c, d$ are given by the equation (30) and $u_{1}$ given by (29).

## 3. Result and Discussion

It is usual to compare the perturbation solution to the numerical solution to test the accuracy of the approximate solution. Let us consider $k_{1}=15.5, k_{2}=75.75, \quad k_{3}=118.625, k_{4}=42.25$.Thus we have $\lambda=3.1, \mu=1.0$. We have computed $x(t, \varepsilon)$ by (31) in which $a, b, c, d$ are computed by equation (30) and $u_{1}$ is computed by equation (29) when $\varepsilon=0.1$ together with two sets of initial conditions $a_{0}=0.5, b_{0}=0.0, c_{0}=0.3$, $d_{0}=0.1 \quad[$ or $\quad x(0)=0.599982, \quad \dot{x}(0)=-1.749542, \ddot{x}=5.902058$ $\dddot{x}(0)=-21.860722$ ] and $a_{0}=0.4, \quad b_{0}=0.0, \quad c_{0}=0.4, \quad d_{0}=0.1 \quad$ [or $x(0)=0.499969, \quad \dot{x}(0)=-1.439448, \ddot{x}=5.140599, \dddot{x}(0)=-20.739441]$ for various values of $t$ and the results are presented in the Table I and II respectively. The corresponding numerical solution (designated by $x^{*}$ ) have been computed by a fourth order Runge-Kutta method. As we have truncated the series (3) from $\varepsilon^{2}$ in the solution (31), so errors should occur $1 \%$ when $\varepsilon=0.1$. But from table I and II, we see that errors are smaller than $1 \%$.

Table I

| $t$ | $x$ | $x^{*}$ | Errors\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.599982 | 0.599982 | 0.00000 |
| 0.5 | 0.163559 | 0.163550 | 0.00550 |
| 1.0 | 0.056936 | 0.056904 | 0.05623 |
| 1.5 | 0.022951 | 0.022912 | 0.17021 |
| 2.0 | 0.010342 | 0.010310 | 0.31037 |
| 2.5 | 0.005155 | 0.005133 | 0.43711 |
| 3.0 | 0.002788 | 0.002774 | 0.50468 |
| 3.5 | 0.001592 | 0.001583 | 0.56854 |
| 4.0 | 0.000938 | 0.000932 | 0.64377 |
| 4.5 | 0.000561 | 0.000558 | 0.53763 |
| 5.0 | 0.000338 | 0.000336 | 0.59523 |

Initial values are $a_{0}=0.5, b_{0}=0.0, c_{0}=0.3, \quad d_{0}=0.1$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$
$x$ Computed by (31) $x^{*}$ is computed by Runge-Kutta method.
Table II

| $t$ | $x$ | $x^{*}$ | Errors\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.499969 | 0.499969 | 0.00000 |
| 0.5 | 0.147644 | 0.147639 | 0.00338 |
| 1.0 | 0.056938 | 0.056921 | 0.02986 |
| 1.5 | 0.024147 | 0.024127 | 0.08289 |
| 2.0 | 0.010951 | 0.010935 | 0.14631 |
| 2.5 | 0.005381 | 0.005370 | 0.20484 |
| 3.0 | 0.002861 | 0.002854 | 0.24526 |
| 3.5 | 0.001614 | 0.001609 | 0.31075 |
| 4.0 | 0.000944 | 0.000941 | 0.31880 |
| 4.5 | 0.000563 | 0.000561 | 0.35650 |
| 5.0 | 0.000339 | 0.000338 | 0.29585 |

Initial values are $a_{0}=0.4, b_{0}=0.0, c_{0}=0.4, d_{0}=0.1$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$
$x$ Computed by (31)
$x^{*}$ is computed by Runge-Kutta method

Again for $\lambda=4.6, \mu=1.5$, we have computed $x(t, \varepsilon)$ by (31) in which $a, b, c, d$ are computed by equation (30) and $u_{1}$ is computed by equation (29) when $\varepsilon=0.1$ together with another two sets of initial conditions $a_{0}=0.5$, $b_{0}=0.0, \quad c_{0}=0.3, \quad d_{0}=0.1 \quad[$ or $\quad x(0)=0.599999$, $\dot{x}(0)=-2.549924, \quad \ddot{x}=12.004210, \quad \dddot{x}(0)=-60.204288] \quad$ and $\quad a_{0}=0.4$, $b_{0}=0.0, \quad c_{0}=0.4, \quad d_{0}=0.1 \quad[$ or $\quad x(0)=0.499999$, $\dot{x}(0)=-2.089921, \ddot{x}=10.088197, \dddot{x}(0)=-53.230522]$ for various values of $t$ and the results are presented in the Table III and IV respectively.

Table III

| $t$ | $x$ | $x^{*}$ | Errors\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.599999 | 0.599999 | 0.00000 |
| 0.5 | 0.092656 | 0.092655 | 0.00107 |
| 1.0 | 0.023288 | 0.023280 | 0.03436 |
| 1.5 | 0.008250 | 0.008244 | 0.07278 |
| 2.0 | 0.003495 | 0.003492 | 0.08591 |
| 2.5 | 0.001592 | 0.001591 | 0.06285 |
| 3.0 | 0.000744 | 0.000743 | 0.13485 |
| 3.5 | 0.000350 | 0.000350 | 0.00000 |


| 4.0 | 0.000165 | 0.000165 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.5 | 0.000078 | 0.000078 | 0.00000 |
| 5.0 | 0.000037 | 0.000037 | 0.00000 |

Initial values are $a_{0}=0.5, \quad b_{0}=0.0, c_{0}=0.3, \quad d_{0}=0.1$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$
$x$ Computed by (31) $x^{*}$ is computed by Runge-Kutta method.
Table IV

| $t$ | $x$ | $x^{*}$ | Errors\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.499999 | 0.499999 | 0.00000 |
| 0.5 | 0.085137 | 0.085138 | 0.00117 |
| 1.0 | 0.023288 | 0.023284 | 0.01717 |
| 1.5 | 0.008376 | 0.008373 | 0.03582 |
| 2.0 | 0.003525 | 0.003524 | 0.02837 |
| 2.5 | 0.001598 | 0.001597 | 0.06261 |
| 3.0 | 0.000745 | 0.000744 | 0.13440 |
| 3.5 | 0.000350 | 0.000350 | 0.00000 |
| 4.0 | 0.000165 | 0.000165 | 0.00000 |
| 4.5 | 0.000078 | 0.000078 | 0.00000 |
| 5.0 | 0.000037 | 0.000037 | 0.00000 |

Initial values are $a_{0}=0.4, b_{0}=0.0, c_{0}=0.4, d_{0}=0.1$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$
$x$ Computed by (31) $x^{*}$ is computed by Runge-Kutta method.
If we do not change the ratio (the ratio is $\lambda: \mu \approx 3: 1$ and by considering $\lambda=3.1, \mu=1$ ) but increase the difference (by considering $\lambda=4.6, \mu=1.5$ ) we see that the results become more near to the numerical results than the previous results.

## 4. Conclusion

In presence of strong linear damping forces, approximate solutions of a fourth order more critically-damped nonlinear system have been found base on the KBM method. The solutions obtained by this method show good coincidence with corresponding numerical values.
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