

Commutativity of Two Torsion Free σ -Prime Gamma Rings with Nonzero Derivations

A.K.Halder and A.C.Paul

Department of Mathematics
 University of Rajshahi
 Rajshahi University-6205
 Rajshahi, Bangladesh
 Acpaulru_math@yahoo.com

Received March 24, 2011; accepted August 10, 2011

ABSTRACT

Let M be a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring and d a nonzero derivation on M . Then M is commutative with the help of the condition $[d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let I be a nonzero σ -ideal of M and d a nonzero derivation on M commuting with σ . Then M is commutative in both conditions $[d(x), d(y)]_\alpha = 0$ and $d(x\alpha y) = d(y\alpha x)$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Keywords: n -torsion free, σ -ideals, derivations, σ -prime Γ -rings.

1 Introduction

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. M is said to be a Γ -ring if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (sending (x, α, y) into $x\alpha y$) such that

$$(a) \quad (x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z,$$

$$x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y,$$

$$x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$$

$$(b) \quad (x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

A subset A of a Γ -ring M is a left(right) ideal of M if A is an additive subgroup of M and $(M \Gamma A)$, the set of all $m\alpha a$ such that $m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, a \in A, (A \Gamma M)$ is contained in A . The centre of M is denoted by $Z(M)$, the set of all $m \in M$ such that $a\alpha m = m\alpha a$ for all $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. M is commutative if $a\alpha b = b\alpha a$, for all $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. M is prime if $a\Gamma M \Gamma b = 0$ with $a, b \in M$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. M is σ -prime if $a\Gamma M \Gamma \sigma(b) = 0$ with $a, b \in M$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. An ideal I of M is σ -ideal if $\sigma(I) = I$. We denote the commutator $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ by $[x, y]_\alpha$. M is n -torsion free if $nm = 0$ for all $m \in M$ implies $m = 0$, where n is an integer. An additive mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is a derivation if $d(a\alpha b) = a\alpha d(b) + d(a)\alpha b$, a left derivation if $d(a\alpha b) = a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha d(a)$, a Jordan

derivation if $d(aaa)=aad(a) + d(a)aa$, a Jordan left derivation if $d(aaa)=2aad(a)$, for all $a,b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Y.Ceven[4] concerned on Jordan left derivation on completely prime Γ -rings. He investigated the existence of a nonzero Jordan left derivation on a completely prime Γ -ring that makes the Γ -ring commutative with an assumption. With the same assumption, he proved that every Jordan left derivation on a completely prime Γ -ring is a left derivation on it. In this paper, he gave an example of Jordan left derivation for Γ -rings.

Mustafa Asci and Sahin Ceran [8] worked on a nonzero left derivation d on a prime Γ -ring M for which M is commutative with the conditions $d(U) \subseteq U$ and $d^2(U) \subseteq Z$, where U is an ideal of M and Z is the centre of M . They also proved the commutativity of M by the nonzero left derivation d_1 and right derivation d_2 on M with the conditions $d_2(U) \subseteq U$ and $d_1d_2(U) \subseteq Z$.

In [11], Sapanci and Nakajima defined a derivation and a Jordan derivation on Γ -rings and investigated a Jordan derivation on a certain type of completely prime Γ -ring which is a derivation. They also gave examples of a derivation and a Jordan derivation on Γ -rings.

Bresar and Vukman [3] showed that the existence of a nonzero Jordan left derivation on R into X implies R is commutative, where R is a ring and X is 2-torsion free and 3-torsion free left R -module.

Qing Deng [5] worked on Jordan left derivations d of prime ring R of characteristic not 2 into a nonzero faithful and prime left R -module X . He proved the commutativity of R with Jordan left derivation d .

Md. Ashraf and Rehman [1] worked on Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings. They proved that if d is an additive mapping on a 2-torsion free prime ring R satisfying $d(u^2)=2ud(u)$, for all $u \in U$, where U is a Lie ideal of R such that $u^2 \in U$, for all $u \in U$, then $d(uv) = ud(v) + vd(u)$, for all $u \in U$.

L.Oukhtite and S.Salhi [10] studied on derivations in σ -prime rings. They showed that R is a 2-torsion free σ -prime ring and $d:R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero derivation with $[d(x),x] \in Z(R)$, for $x \in R$, then R is commutative. They also proved that if d commutes with σ , then R is commutative for the conditions that $[d(x),d(y)] = 0$ and $d(xy) = d(yx)$, for all $x,y \in I$, where I is a σ -ideal of R .

In our paper, we follow the results of L.Oukhtite and S.Salhi [10] in gamma rings. We prove that if d is a nonzero derivation on a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring M and $[d(x),x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative. We also investigate a nonzero derivation d which commutes with σ on M for which M is commutative in both conditions $[d(x),d(y)]_\alpha = 0$ and $d(x\alpha y) = d(y\alpha x)$, for all $x,y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, where I is a σ -ideal of M .

Throughout this paper we shall use the mark (*) for $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$, for all $a,b,c \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$.

In order to prove our main result, we shall state and prove some lemmas as primary results .

2 Primary Results

Lemma 2.1 Let $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$ be a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring M . Then there exists an ideal I of M such that $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$ but $[I, M]_\alpha \not\subseteq Z(M)$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof . Since M is 2-torsion free and $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$,by results in [6], we can show that $[U, U]_\alpha \neq 0$ and $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$, where $I = I\alpha[U, U]_\alpha M \neq 0$ is an ideal of M generated by $[U, U]_\alpha$. Now, $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$ implies $[I, M]_\alpha \not\subseteq Z(M)$; for if $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq Z(M)$ then $[I, [I, M]_\alpha]_\alpha = 0$, which gives $I \subseteq Z(M)$ and ,since $I \neq 0$ is an ideal of M , so $M = Z(M)$. \square

Lemma 2.2 Let $U \not\subseteq Z(M)$ be a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring M and $a, b \in M$ such that $a\alpha U\beta b = a\alpha U\beta\sigma(b)$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then $a=0$ or $b=0$.

Proof. Since M is a σ -prime Γ -ring, there exists an ideal I of M such that $[I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$ but $[I, M]_\alpha \not\subseteq Z(M)$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, Lemma 2.1. Now, for $u \in U, y \in I$ and $m \in M$, we have $[y\alpha a\alpha u, m]_\alpha \in [I, M]_\alpha \subseteq U$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a\alpha[y\alpha a\alpha u, m]_\beta\beta b \\ &= a\alpha[y\alpha a\alpha u, m]_\beta\beta\sigma(b) \\ &= a\alpha[y\alpha a, m]_\alpha\beta u\beta\sigma(b) + a\alpha y\beta a\alpha[u, m]_\alpha\beta\sigma(b), \text{ by } (*) \\ &= a\alpha[y\alpha a, m]_\alpha\beta u\beta\sigma(b), \text{ since } a\alpha[u, m]_\alpha \in a\alpha U\beta b = a\alpha U\beta\sigma(b) \\ &= a\alpha y\alpha a\alpha m\beta u\beta\sigma(b) - a\alpha m\alpha y\alpha a\beta u\beta\sigma(b) \\ &= a\alpha y\alpha a\alpha m\beta u\beta\sigma(b) - a\alpha m\alpha y\beta a\alpha u\beta\sigma(b), \text{ by } (*) \\ &= a\alpha y\alpha a\alpha m\beta u\beta\sigma(b), \text{ by assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a\alpha I\alpha a\alpha M\beta U\beta\sigma(b) = 0$.

If $I \neq 0$ then $U\beta\sigma(b) = 0$, by the σ -primeness of M . Now, if $u \in U$ and $m \in M$ then $(u\alpha m - m\alpha u) \in U$ and hence $(u\alpha m - m\alpha u)\beta b = 0$ gives $u\alpha m\beta b = 0$, that is $u\alpha M\beta b = 0$. As $U \neq 0$, we have $b=0$. Proceeding in the same way we may reach to the decision that if $b \neq 0$ then $a=0$. \square

Lemma 2.3 Let M be a σ -prime Γ -ring satisfying (*) and I a nonzero σ -ideal of M . Let d be a nonzero derivation on M commuting with σ . If $[x, M]_\alpha\alpha I\beta d(x) = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.

Proof. Let $x \in I$. Since $t = x - \sigma(x) \in I$, we have $[t, m]_\alpha\alpha I\beta d(t) = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. For $t \in S\alpha_\sigma(M)$, we get $[t, m]_\alpha\alpha I\beta d(t) = \sigma([t, m]_\alpha)\alpha I\beta d(t) = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and by Lemma 2.2, $d(t) = 0$ or $[t, m]_\alpha = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Suppose that $d(t) = 0$. Then $d(x) = d(\sigma(x))$. Therefore, $[x, m]_\alpha\alpha I\beta d(x) = [x, m]_\alpha\alpha I\beta\sigma(d(x)) = 0$ and by Lemma 2.2, we have $d(x) = 0$ or $[x, m]_\alpha = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. i.e., either $d(x) = 0$ or $x \in Z(M)$. If $[t, m]_\alpha = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $t \in Z(M)$ and thus $[x, m]_\alpha = [\sigma(x), m]_\alpha$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence $[x, m]_\alpha\alpha I\beta d(x) = \sigma([x, m]_\alpha)\alpha I\beta d(x) = 0$. Again by Lemma 2.2, $d(x) = 0$ or $x \in Z(M)$.

Finally, for each $x \in I$ either $d(x) = 0$ or $x \in Z(M)$. Consider G_1 , the set of all $x \in I$ such that $d(x) = 0$ and G_2 , the set of all $x \in I$ such that $x \in Z(M)$. It is clear that G_1

and G_2 are additive subgroups of I and hence by Brauer's trick, $I = G_1$ or $I = G_2$. If $I = G_1$, then $d(x) = 0$, for all $x \in I$. For any $n \in M$, we replace x by nax in $d(x) = 0$ to get $xad(n) = 0$ for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and so that $Iad(n) = 0$, for all $n \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In particular, using (*), we get $1\alpha I\beta d(n) = \sigma(1)\alpha I\beta d(n) = 0$, for all $n \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 2.2, $d = 0$, a contradiction. Hence, $I = G_2$ so that $I \subseteq Z(M)$. Let $m, n \in M$ and $x \in I$. Then by (*), we have $man\beta x = max\beta n = nam\beta x$. Now, from $man\beta x = max\beta n = nam\beta x$, we have $[m, n]_\alpha \alpha I = 0$ and then $[m, n]_\alpha \alpha I \beta 1 = [m, n]_\alpha \alpha I \beta \sigma(1) = 0$. This gives $[m, n]_\alpha = 0$, for all $m, n \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, by Lemma 2.2 and so M is commutative. \square

Lemma 2.4 Let M be a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring which satisfies (*) and I a nonzero σ -ideal of M . If d is a derivation on such that $d^2(I) = 0$ and commutes with σ on M , then $d = 0$.

Proof. First suppose that d is nonzero. Let $m_0 \in M$ such that $d(m_0) \neq 0$. For any $x \in I$, we have $d^2(x) = 0$. Replacing x by $x\alpha y$ in $d^2(x) = 0$, we get

$$d^2(x)\alpha y + 2d(x)\alpha d(y) + x\alpha d^2(y), \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Using the facts that $d^2(x) = 0$ and M is 2-torsion free in (1), we get $d(x)\alpha d(y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ so that $d(x)\alpha d(I) = 0$. In particular, $d(x)\alpha d(y\beta m_0) = d(x)\alpha y\beta d(m_0) = 0$, for all $y \in I$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and therefore $d(x)\alpha I\beta d(m_0) = 0$. Since d commutes with σ , the fact that I is a σ -ideal gives $\sigma(d(x))\alpha I\beta d(m_0) = 0$. Consequently $d(x)\alpha I\beta d(m_0) = \sigma(d(x))\alpha I\beta d(m_0) = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$d(x) = 0, \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in I$.

Replacing x by xam_0 in (2), we get $xad(m_0)$, for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ so that $Iad(m_0) = 0$. In particular, $1\alpha I\beta d(m_0) = \sigma(1)\alpha I\beta d(m_0) = 0$ so that $d(m_0) = 0$, a contradiction. Consequently, $d = 0$. \square

The main results state and prove as follows.

Theorem 2.1 Let M be a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring satisfying (*) and let $d: M \rightarrow M$ be a nonzero derivation. If $[d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.

Proof. Replacing x by $x + y$ in $[d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, we get

$$[d(x), y]_\alpha + [d(y), x]_\alpha \in Z(M), \quad (3)$$

Replacing y by $x\alpha x$ in (3) and using the fact that M is 2-torsion free, we have $x\alpha [d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$. Hence $[m, x]_\alpha \alpha [d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Replacing m by $d(x)$ in $[m, x]_\alpha \alpha [d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$, we get $[d(x), x]_\alpha \alpha [d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$. Now, for $[d(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, we get $[d(x), x]_\alpha \alpha M\beta [d(x), x]_\alpha \alpha \sigma([d(x), x]_\alpha) = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since $[d(x), x]_\alpha \alpha \sigma([d(x), x]_\alpha) \in \text{Sa}_\sigma(M)$ and M is σ -prime, then $[d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ or $[d(x), x]_\alpha \alpha \sigma([d(x), x]_\alpha) = 0$. Suppose that $[d(x), x]_\alpha \alpha \sigma([d(x), x]_\alpha) = 0$. Then by

Derivations

$[d(x),x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, we have $[d(x),x]_\alpha \alpha M \beta [d(x),x]_\alpha = [d(x),x]_\alpha \alpha M \beta \sigma([d(x),x]_\alpha) = 0$ and by the semiprimeness of M , we get

$$[d(x),x]_\alpha = 0, \quad (4)$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and so

$$[d(x),y]_\alpha + [d(y),x]_\alpha = 0, \quad (5)$$

for all $x,y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $x\alpha y$ in (5), we have

$$[d(x),x\alpha y]_\alpha + [d(x\alpha y),x]_\alpha = d(x)\alpha[x,y]_\alpha = 0, \quad (6)$$

for all $x,y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $y\beta z$ in (6) and using (*), we have $d(x)\alpha y\beta[x,z]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x,y,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ and hence $d(x)\alpha M \beta [x,z]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$. In particular,

$$d(\sigma(x))\alpha M \beta [\sigma(x),\sigma(z)]_\alpha = \sigma(d(x))\alpha M \beta \sigma([x,z]_\alpha) = 0, \quad (7)$$

since d commutes with σ .

Applying σ in (7) and (*), we obtain $[x,z]_\alpha \alpha M \beta d(x) = 0$, for all $x,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$. Hence by Lemma 2.3, we can conclude that M is commutative. \square

Theorem 2.2 Let M be a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring which satisfies (*) and I a nonzero σ -ideal of M . If $d: M \rightarrow M$ is a nonzero derivation such that $[d(x),d(y)]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x,y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and commutes with σ , then M is commutative.

Proof. We have

$$[d(x),d(y)]_\alpha = 0, \quad (8)$$

for all $x,y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $x\alpha y$ in (8), we get

$$d(x)\alpha[d(x),y]_\alpha + [d(x),x]_\alpha \alpha d(y) = 0, \quad (9)$$

for all $x,y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now, for $m \in M$, we replace y by $y\beta m$ in (9) and use (*) to get

$$d(x)\alpha y\beta[d(x),m]_\alpha + [d(x),x]_\alpha = 0, \quad (10)$$

for all $x,y \in I$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$.

Replacing m by $d(z)$ in (10) and by (*), we have

$$[d(x),x]_\alpha \alpha y\beta d^2(z) = 0, \quad (11)$$

for all $x,y,z \in I$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$.

Since d commutes with σ and I is a σ -ideal, (11) becomes $[d(x),x]_\alpha \alpha I \beta d^2(z) = \sigma([d(x),x]_\alpha) \alpha I \beta d^2(z) = 0$ and so by Lemma 2.2, we have either $d^2(z) = 0$, for all $z \in I$ or $[d(x),x]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. If $d^2(z) = 0$, for all $z \in I$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $d = 0$, which is a contradiction. So suppose that

$$[d(x),x]_\alpha = 0, \quad (12)$$

for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing x by $x + y$ in (12), we obtain

$$[d(x),y]_\alpha + [d(y),x]_\alpha = 0, \quad (13)$$

for all $x,y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Replacing y by $y\alpha x$ in (13), we have $[y,x]_\alpha \alpha d(x) = 0$ and so

$$[x,y]_\alpha \alpha d(x) = 0, \quad (14)$$

for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

For any $m \in M$, we replace y by $m\beta y$ and use (*), we obtain $[x, m]_{\alpha} \alpha y \beta d(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and so $[x, m]_{\alpha} \alpha I \beta d(x) = 0$, for all $x \in I, m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence by Lemma 2.3, M is commutative. \square

Theorem 2.3 Let M be a 2-torsion free σ -prime Γ -ring which satisfies (*) and I be a nonzero σ -ideal of M . Suppose that $d: M \rightarrow M$ is a nonzero derivation such that $d(x\alpha y) = d(y\alpha x)$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and d commutes with σ . Then M is commutative.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in I$. Since $d[x, y]_{\alpha} = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the condition

$$d([x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha z) = d(z \alpha [x, y]_{\alpha}) \text{ gives} \quad (15)$$

$$[x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha d(z) = d(z) \alpha [x, y]_{\alpha},$$

for all $x, y, z \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

By condition $d(x\alpha y) = d(y\alpha x)$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we have $[d(x), y]_{\alpha} = [d(y), x]_{\alpha}$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In particular, $[d(x\alpha x), y]_{\alpha} = [d(y), x\alpha x]_{\alpha}$ and so

$$d(x) \alpha [x, y]_{\alpha} + [x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha d(x) = 0, \quad (16)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Since M is 2-torsion free, by (15) and (16), we have

$$[x, y]_{\alpha} \alpha d(x) = 0, \quad (17)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

For any $m \in M$, we replace y by $m\beta y$ in (17) and use (*) to get $[x, m]_{\alpha} \alpha y \beta d(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence $[x, M]_{\alpha} \alpha I \beta d(x) = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and by Lemma 2.3, we can arrive at the decision that M is commutative. \square

REFERENCES

1. Ashraf and Rehman, 2000, 'On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivations of prime rings,' *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, 36, 201-206.
2. W.E. Barnes, 1966, 'On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa,' *Pacific J. Math.*, 18, 411-422.
3. M. Bresar and J. Vukman, 1988 'Jordan derivations on prime rings,' *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 37, 321-322.
4. Y. Ceven, 2002, 'Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings,' *C.U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, Cilt 23 Sayı 2.
5. Q. Deng, 1992, 'On Jordan left derivations,' *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 34, 145-147.
6. I.N. Herstein, 1969, 'Topics in Ring Theory,' *Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago*.
7. I.N. Herstein, 1969, 'Lie Ideals and Derivations of Prime Rings,' *Journal of Algebra*, 71, 259-267.
8. Mustafa Asci and Sahin Ceran, 2007, 'The commutativity in prime gamma rings with left derivation,' *International Mathematical Forum*, 2(3), 103-108.
9. N. Nobusawa, 1964, 'On a generalization of the ring theory,' *Osaka J. Math.*, 1.
10. L. Oukhtite and S. Salhi, 2007, 'On Derivations in σ -prime Rings,' *International Journal of Algebra*, 1(5), 241-246.
11. M. Sapanci and A. Nakajima, 1997, 'Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings,' *Math. Japonica*, 46(1), 47-51.