

## Some Fixed Point Results in Non-empty Fuzzy Spaces

*Binayak S. Choudhury<sup>1</sup> and Krishnapada Das<sup>2</sup>*

Department of Mathematics,  
Bengal Engineering and Science University, (Formerly B.E. College),  
P. O. : Shibpur, Howrah - 711103  
e-mail : <sup>1</sup>binayak12@yahoo.co.in <sup>2</sup> Kestapm@yahoo.co.in.

Received 30 December, 2006 ; accepted 31 December, 2006

### ABSTRACT

We obtain a fixed point theorem involving  $n$  different fuzzy spaces and  $n$  mappings. Next we get a fixed point result in a non-empty fuzzy space with  $n$  mappings which satisfy some conditions. Lastly we obtain another fixed point result in a non-empty fuzzy space with  $n$  mappings which are pair-wise commuting. These results can generalize some existing known fixed point results in metric spaces.

**Keywords :** Fixed point, Commutative, Supremum, Non-negative functions, Contractive conditions.

### 1. Introduction

Nung [4], Jain et al. [1] and Rao et al. [5] proved some fixed point results satisfying some contractive conditions. Nung [4] and Jain et al. [1] proved their results in three complete metric spaces and involving three mappings. Rao et al. [5] partially extended the results of [1] and [4]. We prove the results in more general way and prove in fuzzy spaces. We also generalize the results from three dimension to  $n$ -dimension.

### 2. Main Result

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $X_i$  be  $n$  non-empty spaces and  $M_i$  be  $n$  non-negative functions from  $X_i \times X_i \times (0, \alpha) \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $M_i(x_i', x_i'', t) = 1$  if and only if  $x_i' = x_i''$ , and  $M_i$  are symmetric with first two component for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Further we assume that  $T_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $T_n : X_n \rightarrow X_1$  are mapping satisfying the following conditions :

$$M_1(T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_3 T_2 x_2, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 x_1, t) > \min \{M_1(x_1, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_3 T_2 x_2, t), M_1(x_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 x_1, t), M_2(x_2, T_1 x_1, t)\} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_i (T_{i-1}T_{i-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1}x_{i+1}, T_{i-1}T_{i-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1}T_ix_i, t) \\
& > \min \{M_i(x_{i-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_{i+2}T_{i+1}x_{i+1}, t), \\
& \quad M_i(x_i, T_{i-1}T_{i-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1}T_ix_i, t), M_{i+1}(x_{i+1}, T_ix_i, t)\} (1 < i < n)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_n(T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1x_1, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nx_n, t) \\
& > \min \{M_n(x_n, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1x_1, t), M_n(x_n, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nx_n, t), \\
& \quad M_1(x_1, T_nx_n, t)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $x_i \in X_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) with  $x_{i+1} \neq T_ix_i$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ) and  $T_nx_n \neq x_1$ . Now if  $x_i \rightarrow M_1(x_i, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1x_i, t)$ ,  $x_i \rightarrow M_1(x_i, T_{i-1}T_{i-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1}T_ix_i, t)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) attains its supremum in the respective spaces then  $T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1, T_{i-1}T_{i-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1}T_i$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ) has a unique fixed point in  $X_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proof :** Suppose the function  $x_i \rightarrow M_1(x_i, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1x_i, t)$  attains its supremum on  $X_1$ , i.e. there exists a  $u \in X_1$  such that  $M_1(u, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1u, t) = \sup \{M_1(x, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1x, t) : x \in X_1\} = f(u)$  (say).

We now suppose that  $T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1$  has no fixed point. If we take  $P = T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1$  then from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(P^{n-1}u) \\
& = M_1(P^{n-1}u, P^nu, t) \\
& = M_1(T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-1}u, t) \\
& > \min \{M_1(P^{n-1}u, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t), M_1(P^{n-1}u, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-1}u, t), \\
& \quad M_2(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1P^{n-1}u, t)\} \\
& = \min \{M_1(P^{n-1}u, P^{n-1}u, t), M_1(P^{n-1}u, P^nu, t), M_2(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1P^{n-1}u, t)\} \\
& = M_2(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1P^{n-1}u, t) \\
& = M_2(T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t) \\
& > \min \{M_2(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, t), M_2(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t), \\
& \quad M_3(T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t)\} \\
& = M_3(T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t) \\
& > \dots \dots \dots \\
& = M_n(T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1Pu, t) \\
& = M_n(T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1u, t) \\
& > \min \{M_n(T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1u, t), \\
& \quad M_n(T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2} \dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1u, t) \\
& \quad M_1(u, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1u, t)\} \\
& = M_1(u, T_nT_{n-1} \dots T_2T_1u, t) \\
& = f(u)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(P^{n-1}u) > f(u)$  which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1$  has a fixed point.

Now we want to prove the uniqueness.

Suppose  $T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1$  has two fixed point, say  $v$  and  $v'$ .

Now  $M_1(v, v', t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= M_1(T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \min\{M_1(v', T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), M_1(v', T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), M_2(T_1 v, T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= \min\{M_1(v', v, t), M_1(v', v', t), M_2(T_1 v, T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= M_2(T_1 v, T_1 v', t) \\
&= M_2(T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \min\{M_2(T_1 v', T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), M_2(T_1 v', T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), \\
&\quad M_3(T_2 T_1 v, T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= \min\{M_2(T_1 v', T_1 v, t), M_2(T_1 v', T_1 v', t), M_3(T_2 T_1 v, T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= M_3(T_2 T_1 v, T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \dots \dots \dots \\
&= M_n(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&= M_n(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \min\{M_n(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), \\
&\quad M_n(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), \\
&\quad M_1(v, T_n T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= \min\{M_n(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), \\
&\quad M_n(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), \\
&\quad M_1(v, T_n T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= M_1(v, v', t)
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore M_1(v, v', t) > M_1(v, v', t)$  which is a contradiction.

Hence  $T_n T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1$  has a unique fixed point. Similarly for other cases we can prove the result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $M$  be a mapping from  $X \times X \times (0, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $M(x_1, x_2, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $M$  is symmetric with first two component. If  $T_i : X \rightarrow X$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be  $n$  mappings which satisfies the following conditions :

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_3 T_2 x_2, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 x_1, t) \\
&> \min\{M(x_1, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_3 T_2 x_2, t), M(x_1, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 x_1, t), M(x_2, T_1 x_1, t)\} \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1} x_{i+1}, T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1} T_1 x_i, t) \\
&> \min\{M(x_i, T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_{i+2} T_{i+1} x_{i+1}, t), \\
&\quad M(x_i, T_{i-1} T_{i-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_{i+1} T_1 x_i, t), M(x_{i+1}, T_1 x_i, t)\} \quad (1 < i < n) \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

$$M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 x_1, T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n x_n, t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> \min \{ M(x_n, T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1x_1, t), M(x_n, T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nx_n, t), \\
&\quad M(x_1, T_nx_n, t) \} \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_i \in X$  with  $x_i \neq T_{i-1}x_{i-1}$  ( $1 < i \leq n$ ) and  $T_nx_n \neq x_1$ .

Now if  $x_1 \rightarrow M(x_1, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1x_1, t)$  or  $x_i \rightarrow M(x_i, T_{i-1}T_{i-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_{i+1}T_i x_i, t)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) attains its supremum in  $X$  then  $T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1, T_{i-1}T_{i-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_{i+1}T_i$  ( $1 < i \leq n$ ) has a unique common fixed point.

**Proof :** Suppose the function  $x_1 \rightarrow M(x_1, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1x_1, t)$  attains its supremum on  $X$ , i.e., there exists a  $u \in X$  such that  $M(u, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1u, t) = \sup \{ M_1(x, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1x, t) : (x \in X) = f(u)$  (say). We claim that  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1, T_{i-1}T_{i-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_{i+1}T_i$  ( $1 < i \leq n$ ). If otherwise let  $u$  is not a fixed point of  $T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1 = P$  (say), then from (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(P^{n-1}u) \\
&= M(P^{n-1}u, P^nu, t) \\
&= M(T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-1}u, t) \\
&> \min \{ M(P^{n-1}u, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t), M(P^{n-1}u, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-1}u, t), \\
&\quad M(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1P^{n-1}u, t) \} \\
&= \min \{ M(P^{n-1}u, P^{n-1}u, t), M(P^{n-1}u, P^nu, t), M(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1P^{n-1}u, t) \} \\
&= M(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1P^{n-1}u, t) \\
&= M(T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t) \\
&> \min \{ M(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, t), M(T_1P^{n-2}u, T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t), \\
&\quad M(T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t) \} \\
&= M(T_2T_1P^{n-3}u, T_2T_1P^{n-2}u, t) \\
&> \dots \dots \dots \\
&= M(T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1Pu, t) \\
&= M(T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1u, t) \\
&> \min \{ M(T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1u, t), \\
&\quad M(T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1u, T_{n-1}T_{n-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1u, t) \\
&\quad M(u, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1u, t) \} \\
&= M(u, T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1u, t) \\
&= f(u)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(P^{n-1}u) > f(u)$  which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_2T_1$  has a fixed point. Similarly we can prove that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T_{i-1}T_{i-2}\dots T_2T_1T_nT_{n-1}\dots T_{i+1}T_i$  ( $1 < i \leq n$ ).

Now we want to prove the uniqueness.

Suppose  $T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1$  has two fixed point, say  $v$  and  $v'$ .

Now  $M(v, v', t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= M(T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \min\{M(v', T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), M(v', T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), M(T_1 v, T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= \min\{M(v', v, t), M(v', v', t), M(T_1 v, T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= M(T_1 v, T_1 v', t) \\
&= M(T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \min\{M(T_1 v', T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), M(T_1 v', T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), \\
&\quad M(T_2 T_1 v, T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= \min\{M(T_1 v', T_1 v, t), M(T_1 v', T_1 v', t), M(T_2 T_1 v, T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= M(T_2 T_1 v, T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \dots \dots \dots \\
&= M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&= M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t) \\
&> \min\{M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), \\
&\quad M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 T_n T_{n-1} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), \\
&\quad M(v, T_n T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= \min\{M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v, t), \\
&\quad M(T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t), \\
&\quad M(v, T_n T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1 v', t)\} \\
&= M(v, v', t) \\
&\therefore M(v, v', t) > M(v, v', t) \text{ which is a contradiction.}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T_n T_{n-1} T_{n-2} \dots T_2 T_1$  has a unique fixed point. Similarly for other cases we can prove the result. Therefore  $u$  is the common fixed point. This proves the result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $M$  be a mapping from  $X \times X \times (0, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$  and  $M$  is symmetric with first two component. Let  $T_i : X \rightarrow X$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be  $n$  mappings which satisfies the following conditions :

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(T_2 T_3 T_4 \dots T_{n-1} T_n x, T_3 T_4 T_5 \dots T_n T_1 y, t) > \min\{M(T_3 T_4 T_5 \dots T_{n-1} T_n x, T_4 T_5 \dots T_n T_1 y, t), \\
&M(T_2 T_3 T_4 \dots T_{n-1} T_n x, T_3 T_4 T_5 \dots T_n x, t), M(T_3 T_4 \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 y, T_4 T_5 \dots T_n T_1 y, t), \\
&M(T_3 T_4 \dots T_{n-1} T_n x, T_3 T_4 T_5 \dots T_n T_1 y, t)\} \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(T_1 T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-3} T_{i-2} x, T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-2} T_{i-1} y, t) \\
&> \min\{M(T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-3} T_{i-2} x, T_{i+2} T_{i+3} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-2} T_{i-1} y, t),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}x, T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}x, t), \\
& M(T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-2}T_{i-1}y, T_{i+2}T_{i+3}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-2}T_{i-1}y, t), \\
& M(T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}x, T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-2}T_{i-1}y, t) \} \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

for ( $3 \leq i \leq n$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
M(T_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-2}T_{n-1}x, T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_ny, t) &> \min\{M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}x, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_ny, t), \\
& M(T_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}x, T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-2}T_{n-1}x, t), M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_ny, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_ny, t), \\
& M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-2}T_{n-1}x, T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_ny, t)\} \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nx \neq T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1y$ ,  $T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}x \neq T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-2}T_{i-1}y$  ( $3 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-2}T_{n-1}x \neq T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_ny$  respectively. If the functions  $x \rightarrow M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nx, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nx, t)$  or  $x \rightarrow M(T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}x, T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}x, t)$  ( $3 \leq i \leq n$ ) or  $x \rightarrow M(T_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-2}T_{n-1}x, T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-2}T_{n-1}x, t)$  attains its supremum on  $X$  then  $T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_n$  or  $T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) has a fixed point.

**Proof :** Assume that  $x \rightarrow M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nx, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nx, t)$  attains its supremum on  $X$ . Then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t) = \sup\{M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nx, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nx, t) : x \in X\} = f(z)$  (say). Also we suppose that  $T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_n$  or  $T_{i+1}T_{i+2}\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2\dots T_{i-3}T_{i-2}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) have no fixed point.

Now  $f(T_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t) \\
& > \min\{M(T_3T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_2T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t)\} \text{ (by (3.7))} \\
& = M(T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t) \\
& > \min\{M(T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_5T_6\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_4T_5\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_5T_6\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_5T_6\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t)\} \\
& = M(T_4T_5\dots T_{n-1}T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_5T_6\dots T_nT_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t) \\
& \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\
& = M(T_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t) \\
& > \min\{M(T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), M(T_1T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), \\
& \quad M(T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t), M(T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t)\} \\
& = M(T_2T_3\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, T_3T_4\dots T_{n-1}T_nz, t) \\
& = f(z)
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f(T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots T_{n-1} T_n z) > f(z)$  which contradicts the fact that  $f(z)$  is supremum. Hence  $T_3 T_4 \dots T_{n-1} T_n$  or  $T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-3} T_{i-2}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) has a fixed point.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $M$  be a mapping from  $X \times X \times (0, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$  and  $M$  is symmetric with first two component. Let  $T_1, T_2, T_3 : X \rightarrow X$  be 3 mappings which satisfies the following conditions :

$$M(T_2 T_3 x, T_3 T_1 y, t) > \min\{M(T_3 x, T_1 y, t), M(T_2 T_3 x, T_1 x, t), M(T_3 T_1 y, T_1 y, t), M(T_3 x, T_3 T_1 y, t)\} \quad (3.10)$$

$$M(T_1 T_2 x, T_2 T_3 y, t) > \min\{M(T_2 x, T_3 y, t), M(T_1 T_2 x, T_3 x, t), M(T_2 T_3 y, T_3 y, t), M(T_2 x, T_2 T_3 y, t)\} \quad (3.11)$$

$$M(T_3 T_1 x, T_1 T_2 y, t) > \min\{M(T_1 x, T_2 y, t), M(T_3 T_1 x, T_2 x, t), M(T_3 T_1 y, T_1 y, t), M(T_1 x, T_1 T_2 y, t)\} \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $T_3 x \neq T_1 y, T_2 x \neq T_3 y, T_1 x \neq T_2 y$  respectively. If the functions

$x \rightarrow M(T_2 T_3 x, T_3 x, t)$  or  $x \rightarrow M(T_1 T_2 x, T_3 x, t)$  or  $x \rightarrow M(T_3 T_1 x, T_2 x, t)$  attains its supremum on  $X$  then  $T_2 T_3$  or  $T_1 T_2, T_3 T_1$  has a fixed point.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $M$  be a mapping from  $X \times X \times (0, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ . Let  $T_i : X \rightarrow X$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) be pair wise commuting mappings which satisfies the following conditions :

$$M(T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots T_{n-2} T_{n-1} x, T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots T_{n-1} T_n y, t) > M(x, T_n y, t) \quad (3.13)$$

for  $x \neq T_n y, T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots T_{n-2} T_{n-1} x \neq T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots T_{n-1} T_n y$

$$M(T_1 T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-3} T_{i-2} x, T_1 T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-2} T_{i-1} y, t) > M(x, T_{i-1} y, t) \quad (3.14)$$

for  $x \neq T_{i-1} y, T_1 T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-3} T_{i-2} x \neq T_1 T_{i+1} T_{i+2} \dots T_{n-1} T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{i-2} T_{i-1} y$  ( $1 < i < n$ )

$$M(T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-3} T_{n-2} x, T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-2} T_{n-1} y, t) > M(x, T_{i-1} y, t) \quad (3.15)$$

for  $x \neq T_{n-1} y, T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-3} T_{n-2} x \neq T_n T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-2} T_{n-1} y$

then  $T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) has a fixed point if the function  $x \rightarrow M(x, T_i x, t)$  attains its supremum on  $X$ .

**Proof :** Assume that the function  $x \rightarrow M(x, T_1x, t)$  attains its supremum at  $z \rightarrow X$ . Hence  $M(z, T_1z, t) = \sup \{ M(x, T_1x, t) : x \in X \} = f(z)$  (say) . Let  $P = T_1T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n$  Suppose  $T_1$  has no fixed point .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } f(P_{n-1}z) &= M(P_{n-1}z, T_1P_{n-1}z, t) \\
 &= M(P^{n-1}z, P^{n-1}T_1z, t) \text{ ( since } T_i \text{ 's are pair wise commuting)} \\
 &= M(T_1T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n P^{n-2}z, T_1T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n P^{n-2}T_1z, t) \\
 &> M(T_n P^{n-2}z, P^{n-2}T_1z, t) \text{ ( by (3.13))} \\
 &= M(T_n T_1T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n P^{n-3}z, T_nT_1T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n P^{n-3}T_1z, t) \\
 &> M(T_{n-1}T_n P^{n-3}z, T_{n-1}T_n P^{n-3}T_1z, t) \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\
 &> M(T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n z, T_2T_3 \dots T_{n-1}T_n T_1z, t) \\
 &> M(z, T_1z, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

=  $f(z)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $f(z)$  is supremum.

Hence  $T_1$  has a fixed point.

Similarly , we can prove for other  $T_i$ 's.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Acknowledgements:** The present work is supported by UGC Major Research Project, No.F.8-12/2003(SR) dated 30/03/2003.The support is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

1. R.K. Jain , H. K. Sahu and B. Fisher : Related fixed point theorems for three complete metric spaces : Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Prirod. Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat
2. R.K. Jain , A.K. Shrivastava and B. Fisher : Fixed points on three complete metric spaces : Novi Sad J. Math. , No.1 (1997) , 27 – 35 .
3. J. Kramosil and J. Michalek : Fuzzy Metric and Statistical Metric Spaces, Kybernetica , 11, 1975, 336–341 .
4. N.P.Nung : A fixed point theorem in three metric space : Math. Sem. Notes , Kobe Univ. , 11 (1983) , 77 – 79 .
5. K.P.R. Rao , N. Srinivasa Rao and B.V.S.N. Hariprasad : Three fixed point results for three maps : J. Natural & Physical Sciences , Vol.18(1), (2004) , 41 – 48 .