List of Figures

Page No.

Fig. 1.1:	Elementary methodological flow chart showing the developments of	
	landscape ecological study which is the relation among spatial patterns,	
	historical background and ecological processes	. 20
Fig. 1.2:	The studied coast, a widespread rising coastal plain made up of sand and	
	mud sedimented by fluvial and aeolian process at mid-eastern division of	
	Kanthi Coastal plain, stretching between 21°36'33.07"N to 21°46'47.03"N	
	and $87^{\circ}26' 42.86'' \text{ E to } 87^{\circ}45' 22.15'' E is the part of district of Purba$	
	Medinipur, West Bengal along the Bay of Bengal coast	22
Fig. 1.3:	Geological structure of the study area	. 23
Fig. 1.4:	Distribution of the soil taxonomic classes	. 24
Fig. 1.5:	Micro geomorphological units of the studied coast	. 25
Fig. 2.1:	Plan shape of beach ridge cheniers of Kanthi and Digha coast	
	(eastern bank of Subarnarekha estuary)	. 30
Fig. 2.2:	Beach ridge cheniers of Kanthi and Digha coastal plain	. 31
Fig. 2.3:	High resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	. 32
Fig. 2.4:	The contour plan of coastal plain topography from high resolution	
J	interpolated DEM (50 cm interval)	.33
Fig. 2.5:	Various relief units of the coastal plain on the basis of elevations	
	from the MSL	. 34
Fig. 2.6:	Erosive dune face of the beach fringed shoreline of the study area	. 37
Fig. 2.7:	Drainage network of the study area	. 38
Fig. 2.8:	The stages of evolution of the chenier costal plain (Maiti, 2013)	. 40
Fig. 2.9:	The evolution of Bhagarathi-Hugli Delta fan lobes estimated by	
	Allison et al, (2003) by lithological dating methods on the eastern	
	fringe of the present chenier coast	. 41
Fig. 2.10:	Nine sets of chenier sand ridges on Subarnarekha deltaic surface	. 42
Fig. 2.11:	Chronological cross sections of the coastal plain topography	43
Fig. 2.12:	The predicted wave energy, estimated dune areas, cross sectional	
	topographic forms and chronological formations of cross section-1	
	in the coastal plain of the study area	. 44
Fig. 2.13:	Estimation of relative wave parameters	45
-	-	

Fig. 2.14:	The predicted wave energy, estimated dune areas, cross sectional	
	topographic forms and chronological formations of cross section-2	
	in the coastal plain of the study area	46
Fig. 2.15:	The predicted wave energy, estimated dune areas, cross sectional	
	topographic forms and chronological formations of cross section-2	
	in the coastal plain of the study area	47
Fig. 2.16:	Sector wise categorization of tidal wetlands with their inundation	
	areas during 2017	49
Fig. 2.17:	Location of profile sections surveyed by GSI (2013-14)	. 52
Fig. 2.18:	Volume of tidal prism estimation (m ³) in different sectors	. 53
Fig. 2.19:	The tidal wetlands occupied by commercial fish firms, abandoned	
	tidal flat, tidal drainage channels and beach ridges or sand dunes	. 54
Fig. 2.20:	Dynamic inlet mouths modified by down drift currents and up drift	
	currents along the shore line	. 55
Fig. 2.21:	Calculation of coastal morphometric attributes in 1 km \times 1 km	
	grid method	. 56
Fig. 2.22:	Relative relief surface of the studied coast	. 57
Fig. 2.23:	Dissected surface of the studied coast	. 58
Fig. 2.24:	Average slope of the studied coast	59
Fig. 2.25:	Aspect of slope direction of the studied coast	. 60
Fig. 3.1:	Sample survey grid of delineated three transects (A, B and C)	. 65
Fig. 3.2:	Superimposed of transects on Sentinel image with Standard False Color	
	Composite (FCC)	65
Fig. 3.3:	Vegetation diversification survey in each grid along the selected three	
	transects with the GPS tracker	. 66
Fig. 3.4:	Grid wise spatial distribution of species communities along	
	three transects	. 67
Fig. 3.5:	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index of Boro cultivated season	
	(November, 2017)	68
Fig. 3.6:	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index of non cultivated season	
	(March, 2017)	. 69
Fig. 3.7:	Transect wise vegetation classification with topographic character	. 70
Fig. 3.8:	Overall scenario of vegetation types with different topographic units	. 71
Fig. 3.9:	Topographic micro zonation of coastal landforms	. 72

Fig. 3.10:	Grid wise altitudinal range of Transect A, B and C	72
Fig. 3.11:	Diversity of vegetation under topographic variables of 'A' transect	73
Fig. 3.12:	Diversity of vegetation under topographic variables of 'B' transect	74
Fig. 3.13:	Diversity of vegetation under topographic variables of 'C' transect	74
Fig. 3.14:	Comparative analysis of maximum diversity, Shannon diversity,	
	and evenness of three transects	77
Fig. 3.15:	Showing the relationships of different floral species between	
	AB, BC, AC and ABC transects	78
Fig. 3.16:	Relationships of vegetation characteristics with diverse	
	geomorphic features	79
Fig. 3.17:	Superimposed layers of elevation, morphology and plant ecology for the	
	understanding of habitat variability at local levels	82
Fig. 3.18:	Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis with single linkage	
	of transect-A	86
Fig. 3.19:	Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis with single linkage	
	of transect-B	86
Fig. 3.20:	Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis with single linkage	
	of transect-C	87
Fig. 3.21:	Icicle plot of transect-A for exhibits the similarity between two cases	87
Fig. 3.22:	Icicle plot of transect-B for exhibits the similarity between two cases	88
Fig. 3.23:	Icicle plot of transect-C for exhibits the similarity between two cases	88
Fig. 4.1:	Field survey location and amount of total biomass of different	
	vegetated surface	97
Fig. 4.2:	Comparison between Presence of tree and Height of the tree per grid	97
Fig. 4.3:	Location of the agricultural sampling sites	101
Fig. 4.4:	Location of the sample sites of different fishery plots	103
Fig. 4.5:	Spatial distribution of different fisheries sector	105
Fig. 4.6:	Seaward extension of the open marine fishing	106
Fig. 4.7:	Vegetation coverage in the year of 1990	108
Fig. 4.8:	Vegetation coverage in the year of 2017	109
Fig. 4.9:	Digital classification of land use / land covers in 1990	110
Fig. 4.10:	Digital classification of land use / land covers in 2017	111
Fig. 4.11:	Changing scenario land use / land cover between 1990 and 2017	112

Fig. 4.12:	Validation of the LU / LC categorization area between digital and vector
	classification in 2017115
Fig. 4.13:	Percentage of area converted in between 1990 and 2017116
Fig. 4.14:	Conversion of orchards and scrub is extreme into dense vegetation
	between 1990 and 2017
Fig. 4.15:	Conversion of agricultural fallow land is extreme into agricultural land
	between 1990 and 2017
Fig. 4.16:	Conversion of fishery land is extreme into abandoned fishery and salt pan
	between 1990 and 2017
Fig. 4.17:	Conversion of water body and channels are extreme into open sandy tract
	between 1990 and 2017
Fig. 4.18:	Conversion of wetlands is extreme into abandoned fishery and saltpan
	between 1990 and 2017
Fig. 4.19:	Estimation the population pressure through population density at
	Ramnagar Blocks
Fig. 4.20:	Settlement density map of the studied coast121
Fig. 4.21:	Environmental zoning map of the Ramnagar-I and II
	Administrative Blocks